LAW OFFICES OF

MICHAEL M. MULDER
321 SOUTH PLYMOUTH COURT SUITE 1250
CHICAGO, 1L 60604

MMMULDERLAW.COM ELIVERIS@MMULDERLAW.COM

TEL: 312-263-0272 FAX: 312-263-2942

SO ORDERED:

HON. VERNON S. BRODERICK 10/3/201 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

September 24, 2014

Via UPS

Honorable Vernon S. Broderick
United States District Judge
United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse
40 Foley Square, Room 415
New York, NY 10007

Re: Whitley v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., et al., No. 12-cv-2548-VSB Knee v. J.P. Morgan Retirement Plan Services, LLC et al., No. 13-cv-6337-VSB Evans, et al v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., Case No. 1:14-cv-02276-VSB Stolwyk, et al v. JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., Case No. 1:14-cv-02273-VSB

Dear Judge Broderick:

Counsel representing the Plaintiffs in these cases jointly writes to inform the Court of their intention to withdraw their respective oppositions to the J.P.Morgan Defendants' ("JPM Defendants") Motion for Consolidation, DKT #130. Plaintiffs' counsel for the above referenced cases have been in discussions with counsel for JPM Defendants concerning a schedule for filing a consolidated complaint in the above captioned cases. The discussion also covers two other JPM stable value cases that were recently electronically transferred to the Southern District of New York from Missouri, Adams et al v. J.P. Morgan Retirement Plan Services, LLC et al, No. 13-cv-00802 and Ashurst et al v. J.P. Morgan Retirement Plan Services, LLC et al, No. 13-cv-00803. (See Attachments A and B)

Under the proposed schedule Plaintiffs' counsel will file a Consolidated Class Action Complaint concerning all six cases mentioned herein, no later than October 24, 2014. Simultaneously with that filing, Plaintiffs will withdraw their respective oppositions to the JPM Defendants' Motion to Consolidate and Defendants will agree to a dismissal without prejudice of certain named plaintiffs in the Evans, Adams and Ashurst cases with each party to bear its own costs. No later than two weeks after the filing of the Consolidated Complaint Plaintiffs and Defendants will advise the Court of whether they have been able to agree upon a proposed scheduling order for the consolidated matter. The parties stipulate that the timeframe for the JPM Defendants to answer the second amended complaints filed on September 5, 2014, in

Adams and Ashurst is tolled pending dismissal of those cases and filing of the Consolidated Class Action Complaint.

Defendants have requested we advise the Court that they do not waive any rights associated with the above mentioned filings.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael M. Mulder

One of the Attorneys for the Whitley and Knee

Plaintiffs

Randall E. Hendricks

One of the Attorneys for the Stolwyk, Evans,

Adams and Ashurst Plaintiffs

cc: Lawrence A. Rouse via email
Jason H. Kim via email
Daniel B. Hodes via email
Gregory C. Braden via email

Azeez Hayne via email

Melissa D. Hill via email